

Assessing the Effect of E-Learning on Perineal Repair Knowledge and Skill Acquisition

Kimberly Blom, DO, MSc, CCFP | Ana-Maria Iancu, MD, MSc | Rachel Tyli, BASc | Tess Coppinger, BSc | Melissa Tai, MD, MSc, FRCSC | Kit Shan Lee, MD, MSc, CCFP

PRiMER. 2022;6:11.

Published: 6/8/2022 | DOI: 10.22454/PRiMER.2022.425794

Introduction

Residency training is the critical time to learn and develop procedural skills. However, exposure to obstetrical injuries is limited for family medicine residents, due a shorter training program. Open-access e-learning provides an opportunity to close this gap. Various online and simulation training programs have been developed for perineal repair^{1,2} as well as other skills³⁻⁶ across many surgical specialties.^{7,8} However, very few programs have been studied in family medicine. The objectives of our small study were to create a novel, open-access e-learning program⁹ on the diagnosis and management of second degree obstetrical perineal injury for family medicine trainees, and to evaluate the effectiveness of our tool through blinded assessments of knowledge recall and technical skill at multiple points in time.

Methods

In 2019, we recruited nearly all first-year family medicine residents from the University of Toronto Michael Garron Hospital teaching site with approval from the hospital Research Ethics Board. The study design consisted of a pre/postintervention protocol. The intervention was an online, interactive e-module designed by study investigators.⁹ We assessed baseline knowledge and skill. Afterwards, the module was released and could be viewed without limitation. The second assessment was completed 1 week later. Unfortunately, the 6-month follow up coincided with the outbreak of COVID-19 in March 2020 and was cancelled.

The assessments and main outcome measures were a written, multiple-choice knowledge test and technical performance of a simulated second-degree perineal repair. Performance was assessed via video recording participants performing second-degree perineal laceration repairs on a simulated bench-top model. We anonymized participants by using black, long-sleeved shirts and gloves, and sound was removed from the videos to ensure blinding. Performance was marked by two obstetrical providers using a task-specific checklist that was modified from Siddiqui et al.⁸

We calculated frequencies and proportions for nominal variables including mean, median, and measures of distribution. We used the Wilcoxon signed-rank test to analyze the primary outcomes. We used Cronbach's α to assess correlation between the two assessors.

Results

In total, there were 11 participants included in the knowledge recall and 10 participants in the performance assessment.

The mean baseline score for knowledge recall was 6.7/10 (SD 1.8), compared with 9.0/10 (SD 1.3) at follow up (Table 1). Six participants' knowledge test score improved, one declined, and four remained the same. The number of participants improving their score was statistically significant (*P*=.031).

A maximum score of 11 was possible on the performance assessment. Participants obtained a mean baseline score of 4.5/11 (SD 3.2) and 3.7/11 (SD 3.3), respectively for evaluators one and two. At follow up, the mean score for evaluators one and two were 6.2 (SD 3.2) and 5.5 (SD 3.3), respectively (Table 2). After the intervention, nine participants improved, one participant obtained the same score, and no participants' performance declined. The number of participants improving their performance was statistically significant (evaluator one P=.004 and evaluator two P=.004). There was also a very high intraclass correlation coefficient between evaluators (0.940, P<.001).

Discussion

This exploratory study evaluated the impact of an open access, obstetrical perineal injury e-learning program among family medicine residents. This is the first study of its kind to focus on family practice, as well as implement blinded assessments using multiple assessors at multiple points in time. Based on our results, we can conclude that our tool was effective in improving both knowledge and technical skill of second-degree perineal repair. Moreover, there was a statistically significant agreement between evaluators suggesting that the intervention, not the evaluator, influenced performance.

One key strength of the training module is portability. In our constantly-changing environment, the flexibility to choose on-demand, interactive learning and access to reliable information is critical to the successful training of learners.

Despite a 73% participation rate, one key limitation to our study was small sample size. This was due to the small number of residents in our training program. Another limitation includes the loss of our 6-month followup assessment that coincided with the outbreak of COVID-19 in March 2020. Additionally, because this study was a residency research project (for authors K.B. and A.I.), it required completion by a predetermined deadline and could not be extended.

In summary, this study demonstrated the effectiveness of teaching obstetrical surgical skills to family medicine trainees using an e-learning platform. It also demonstrated the feasibility to conduct this study on a larger scale. Further research is needed to assess knowledge/skill retention at greater time intervals and whether benchtop skills are transferred to the clinical environment. Data from this study will be used as a foundation to launch a larger, longer study using a more robust study design.

Tables and Figures

	0		
	Baseline	Follow-up	
Number of participants	15	11	
Mean	6.7	9.0	
Median	7.0	10.0	
Standard deviation	1.8	1.3	
Range	7.0	3.0	
Minimum	3.0	7.0	
Maximum	10.0	10.0	
25th %	6.0	8.0	
50th %	7.0	10.0	
75th %	8.0	10.0	

Table 1: Knowledge Test Results at Baseline and 1 Week Follow Up

Abbreviation: %, percentile.

Table 2: Performance Checklist Score by Evaluator at Baseline and 1 Week Follow Up

	Mean (SD)	Min	Max	25th %	50th %	75th %
Evaluator 1 baseline	2.9 (2.2)	0	7	1.0	3.0	4.3
Evaluator 2 baseline	3.5 (1.7)	1.0	6.0	2.0	4.0	5.0
Evaluator 1 follow up	6.1 (2.6)	2.0	9.0	4.0	6.0	9.0
Evaluator 2 follow up	6.7 (2.4)	3.0	10.0	5.0	6.0	9.0

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; %, percentile.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the study participants for their contributions, Babak Aliarzadeh for his statistical support, as well as the Toronto East Health Network Education Education Scholarship grant for their funding support.

Financial Support: This project received funding through a Toronto East Health Network Education Scholarship Grant.

Presentations: This study was presented as follows:

- Society of Obstetricians & Gynecologists Annual Clinical and Scientific Conference 2021, Whistler, BC, Canada, June 21 – 25, 2021
- Michael Garron Hospital / University of Toronto / Department of Family & Community Medicine Academic Project Presentation Day, Toronto, ON, Canada, May 29, 2020
- Convergence Healthcare Education Conference Mitchner Institute UHN, Toronto, ON, Canada, February 9, 2021

Corresponding Author

Kit Shan Lee, MD, MSc, CCFP South East Toronto Family Health Team, 1871 Danforth Avenue, 2nd floor, Toronto, Ontario M4C 1J3. 416-699-7775. Fax: 416-699-7766.

Kitshan.lee@utoronto.ca

Author Affiliations

Kimberly Blom, DO, MSc, CCFP - Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Canada | Department of Family and Community Medicine, North York General Hospital, Canada | Division of Hospital Medicine, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Canada

Ana-Maria Iancu, MD, MSc - Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, University of Toronto, Canada Rachel Tyli, BASc - Department of Mechanical & Mechatronics Engineering, University of Waterloo, Canada Tess Coppinger, BSc - Faculty of Science, Ryerson University, Toronto, Canada

Melissa Tai, MD, MSc, FRCSC - Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, University of Toronto, Canada | Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Michael Garron Hospital, Canada

Kit Shan Lee, MD, MSc, CCFP - Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Canada | Faculty, Department of Family and Community Medicine, Michael Garron Hospital, Canada

References

- Selo-Ojeme D, Ojutiku D, Ikomi A. Impact of a structured, hands-on, surgical skills training program for midwives performing perineal repair. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2009;106(3):239-241. doi:10.1016/j.ijgo.2009.04.014
- Martinez A, Cassling C, Keller J. Objective structured assessment of technical skills to teach and study retention of fourth-degree laceration repair skills. J Grad Med Educ. 2015;7(1):32-35. doi:10.4300/JGME-D-14-00233.1
- Nelissen E, Ersdal H, Mduma E, et al. Clinical performance and patient outcome after simulation-based training in prevention and management of postpartum haemorrhage: an educational intervention study in a low-resource setting. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2017;17(1):301. Published 2017 Sep 11. doi:10.1186/s12884-017-1481-7.
- Ellis D, Crofts JF, Hunt LP, Read M, Fox R, James M. Hospital, simulation center, and teamwork training for eclampsia management: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol. 2008;111(3):723-731. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181637a82
- 5. Draycott TJ, Crofts JF, Ash JP, et al. Improving neonatal outcome through practical shoulder dystocia training. Obstet Gynecol. 2008;112(1):14-20. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e31817bbc61
- Andreatta P, Saxton E, Thompson M, Annich G. Simulation-based mock codes significantly correlate with improved pediatric patient cardiopulmonary arrest survival rates. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2011;12(1):33-38. doi:10.1097/PCC.0b013e3181e89270
- Barsuk JH, Cohen ER, Feinglass J, McGaghie WC, Wayne DB. Use of simulation-based education to reduce catheter-related bloodstream infections. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(15):1420-1423. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2009.215
- Siddiqui NY, Stepp KJ, Lasch SJ, Mangel JM, Wu JM. Objective structured assessment of technical skills for repair of fourth-degree perineal lacerations. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2008;199(6):676.e1-676.e6. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2008.07.054
- 9. Blom K, Iancu A, Lee KS, Tai M. Managing Obstetrical Trauma. STFM Resource Library; 2022. Accessed June 8, 2022.

Copyright $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2022 by the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine